1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR11-5408RJB
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR ORDER TO STRIKE DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY
13 14	ALEXANDER WALLS and REGINALD IRVIN,	
15	Defendant.	
16	This matter comes before the court on the Motion to Strike Demand for Discovery (Dkt.	
17	123). Because it appears to the court that the motion is based on a misunderstanding, the court	
18	chooses to rule summarily without waiting for response.	
19	Plaintiff moves to strike the demand for discovery and related relief (Dkt. 118), and	
20	related documents (Dkts. 119, 120, 121 and 122). The subject documents are not motions	
21	directed to the court, but are requests directed to the plaintiff. They require no action on the part	
22   23	of the court, and the plaintiff may accede to the demands made, or not, as they choose in their	
23   24	professional judgment. Accordingly, there is no re	eason to strike those documents.

For the foregoing reason, the Motion to Strike Demand for Discovery (Dkt. 123) is hereby DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address. Dated this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012. ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge